

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

04 10423 RWZ

Comcast of Massachusetts/New
Hampshire/Ohio, Inc. ("Comcast")

Plaintiff,

vs.

Robert Dion

Defendant

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
TITLE 47 U.S.C. § 553 AND
CONVERSION

MAGISTRATE JUDGE *Alexander*

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT \$ *150*

SUMMONS ISSUED *yes*

LOCAL RULE 4.1 *1*

WAIVER FORM *1*

MCF ISSUED

BY DPTY. CLK. *F.O.M.*

DATE *3/2/04*

NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff Comcast of Massachusetts/New Hampshire/Ohio, Inc. ("Comcast"), brings this Complaint to redress injuries it has suffered as a result of the Defendant, Robert Dion's (hereinafter the "Defendant")
 - a. Violations of 47 U.S.C. § 553; and
 - b. The conversion of Comcast's property, its telecommunications signals.
2. The Defendant's use and/or distribution of one (1) statutorily prohibited electronic device(s) that descrambled and intercepted Comcast's cable television signal violated 47 U.S.C. § 553.

PARTIES

3. Comcast is a Ohio corporation authorized to do business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
4. The Defendant was and is an individual with a principal residence at 69 Temple Ave , Winthrop, MA, at all times relevant to the conversion and to the said violations of Title 47 U.S.C. § 553.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action is brought pursuant to Title 47 U.S.C. § 553.
6. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
Venue is proper in the United States District Court in and for the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Comcast provides cable television services to subscribers in the Winthrop area pursuant to franchise agreements with various municipalities.
8. Comcast is the successor-in-interest to the legal entity that held the prior cable television franchise in this area, and, as such successor, Comcast has the right to pursue the claims set forth herein even if said claims may have accrued during the time that the predecessor-in-interest held the cable television franchise.
9. In order to provide cable television services, Comcast pays fees to programmers for the right to receive programs, mostly by way of interstate radio communications, and transmit their programming over Comcast's system. The signals that Comcast transmits over its system are private communications not intended for public use.
10. Subscribers pay Comcast based on the level of service they wish to receive.
11. In order to protect its signals and maintain the value of its services, Comcast electronically encodes or scrambles some of its signals so that they must first be decoded by electronic decoding equipment in order to be viewed clearly on a television receiver. The signals Comcast encodes or scrambles includes premium channels, such as HBO, Showtime, and Cinemax, for which subscribers pay a

separate monthly subscription fee, and pay-per-view events, such as a specific movie, concert or sporting event, for which subscribers pay a specific one time charge to view each event. Comcast provides subscribers with electronic decoding equipment (hereinafter referred to as "decoders") to decode these signals. Comcast programs these decoders so that a subscriber may only view that level of service, which he or she has purchased.

12. Comcast has a proprietary interest in the signals, programming and services offered over Comcast's system.
13. On or about March 2, 2001, the Defendant received one (1) descrambling device(s).
14. The descrambling device(s) was capable of defeating Comcast's encoding and scrambling technology.
15. The Defendant, used the descrambling device(s) to receive, without authorization, scrambled or encoded programming and services offered over Comcast's system. By using the unauthorized and illegal descrambling device(s), Mr. Dion, was able to view Comcast's highest level of cable television programming and service, including premium channels and pay-per-view events, while only paying for a lower level of service.
16. Through the unauthorized interception of signals the Defendant was able to exercise dominion and control of Comcast's property, the signals, programming and services offered over Comcast's system.
17. In the alternative, or in addition to the allegations set forth above, the Defendant assisted a third party in obtaining the above referenced descrambling device(s)

with the intent, on the part of the Defendant, that said device(s) would be utilized to obtain, without authorization, the scrambled or encoded programming and services offered by Comcast.

COUNT I

(Violation 47 U.S.C. § 553, Unauthorized Interception and/or Receipt)

18. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 17.
19. The Defendant's, conduct violated 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).
20. Comcast is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's, violation of 47 U.S.C. §553 and is authorized to institute this action pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553(c)(1).
21. The cable transmissions that make up Comcast 's signal are communication services offered over a cable system and, as such, are protected by 47 U.S.C. § 553.
22. The Defendant, knowingly and willfully violated 47 U.S.C. § 553.
23. Comcast did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's, interception and use of its cable transmissions.
24. The Defendant's violations have injured Comcast's ability to generate revenue by depriving Comcast of payment for its programming.

COUNT II

(Violation 47 U.S.C. § 553, Assisting)

25. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24.

26. The Defendant's actions in assisting a third party to obtain the descrambling device(s) with the intent that the descrambling device(s) would be used to intercept or receive the scrambled or encoded programming and services offered by Comcast without Comcast's authorization, amounts to assisting in the unauthorized interception or receipt of said signals and, as such violated 47 U.S.C. § 553(a).
27. Comcast is a person aggrieved by the Defendant's violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553 and is authorized to institute this action pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 553 (c) (1).
28. The cable transmissions that make up Comcast's signals are communications services offered over a cable system and, as such, are protected by 47 U.S.C. § 553.
29. The Defendant, knowingly and willingly violated 47 U.S.C. § 553.
30. Comcast did not authorize or consent to the Defendant's actions in assisting a third party to obtain the descrambling device(s) with the intent that said party would utilize the device(s) to intercept or receive the scrambled or encoded programming offered by Comcast without the authorization for said interception or receipt.
31. The Defendant's violations have injured Comcast's ability to generate revenue by depriving Comcast of payment for its programming.

COUNT III

(Conversion)

32. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 31.
33. The Defendant exercised dominion and control over the Plaintiff's property without authorization or legal right to do so.

34. The Defendant's conduct was willful, malicious, and wrongful, with the intent to deprive the Plaintiff of the right to possession of its programming.

35. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's intentional, malicious, and wrongful conversion of the Plaintiff's signals, programming and services offered over Comcast's system the Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages; accordingly, the Defendant is liable for all of the Plaintiff's damages.

COUNT IV

(Conversion - In Concert With Others)

36. Comcast realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35.

37. The Defendant, through the sale and/or distribution of the descrambling device(s), acted in concert with the individuals who received descrambling device(s) to exercise dominion and control over the Plaintiff's property, without authorization or legal right to do so.

38. The Defendant's conduct was willful, malicious, and wrongful, with the intent to deprive the Plaintiff of the right to possession of its programming.

39. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's intentional, malicious, and wrongful conversion of the Plaintiff's cable television services, the Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages through the loss of income from all of the individuals who, along with the Defendant, are receiving said unauthorized services; accordingly, the Defendant is liable for all of the Plaintiff's damages.

WHEREFORE, Comcast prays for judgment against the Defendant, and requests that the Court grant it the following relief:


1. Statutory damages of \$10,000.00 for each violation of 47 U.S.C. § 553(a),
2. Money damages in favor of the Plaintiff for all damages the Plaintiff has suffered as a result of the Defendant's conversion;

3. Money damages in favor of the Plaintiff for all damages the Plaintiff has suffered as a result of the Defendant's conversion in concert with others;
4. Comcast's attorney's fees and costs in prosecuting this lawsuit as provided for by 47 U.S.C. 553(c)(2)(C);
5. The issuance of a permanent injunction pursuant to provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 553 utilizing the following language or language of a similar nature:

“The Court hereby enjoins the Defendant, the Defendant's respective agents, servants, employees and any person or entity controlled directly or indirectly by the Defendant or acting on the Defendant's behalf from the further use and/or distribution of electronic equipment designed for the unauthorized interception of signal in violation of provisions of Title 47.”
6. Post-judgment interest pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 1961; and
7. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted for the Plaintiff,
Comcast of Massachusetts/New
Hampshire/Ohio, Inc.
By Its Attorney,

7/1/04
Date


John M. McLaughlin
MCLAUGHLIN SACKS
31 Trumbull Road
Northampton, MA 01060
Telephone: (413) 586-0865
BBO No. 556328